

# POLYHEDRAL BOUNDS ON THE JOINT SPECTRUM AND TEMPEREDNESS OF LOCALLY SYMMETRIC SPACES

CHRISTOPHER LUTSKO, TOBIAS WEICH, AND LASSE L. WOLF

ABSTRACT. Given a real semisimple connected Lie group  $G$  and a discrete torsion-free subgroup  $\Gamma < G$  we prove a precise connection between growth rates of the group  $\Gamma$ , polyhedral bounds on the joint spectrum of the ring of invariant differential operators, and the decay of matrix coefficients. In particular, this allows us to completely characterize temperedness of  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  in this general setting.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a locally symmetric space  $\Gamma \backslash G/K$ , where  $G$  is a real connected semisimple non-compact Lie group with finite center,  $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup, and  $\Gamma < G$  is a discrete torsion-free subgroup. There is a general scheme to connect the spectral properties of  $\Gamma \backslash G/K$  with growth rates of the discrete group  $\Gamma$ . One of the first instances of this connection is the characterization of the bottom  $\inf \sigma(\Delta)$  of the Laplace spectrum for hyperbolic surfaces:

$$\inf \sigma(\Delta) = \begin{cases} 1/4 & : \delta_\Gamma < 1/2 \\ 1/4 - (\delta_\Gamma - 1/2)^2 & : \delta_\Gamma \geq 1/2, \end{cases}$$

where  $\delta_\Gamma$  is the critical exponent of the discrete subgroup  $\Gamma \leq SL_2(\mathbb{R})$

$$\delta_\Gamma := \inf \left\{ s \in \mathbb{R} : \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-sd(\gamma x_0, x_0)} < \infty \right\}, \quad x_0 \in \mathbb{H}.$$

This theorem is due to Elstrodt [Els73a, Els73b, Els74] and Patterson [Pat76] and has been extended to real hyperbolic manifolds of arbitrary dimension by Sullivan [Sul87] and then to general locally symmetric spaces of rank one by Corlette [Cor90].

We are interested in analogous statements for higher rank locally symmetric spaces. An important feature is the following:  $G$  admits a Cartan decomposition  $G = K \exp(\mathfrak{a}_+)K$ . Hence, for every  $g \in G$  there is  $\mu_+(g) \in \mathfrak{a}_+$  such that  $g \in K \exp(\mu_+(g))K$ .  $\mu(g)$  can be thought of a higher dimensional distance  $d(gK, eK)$ .

In this higher rank setting the bottom of the Laplace spectrum was estimated using the same definition of  $\delta_\Gamma$  which is defined through  $d(\gamma x_0, x_0) = \|\mu_+(x_0^{-1}\gamma x_0)\|$  [Web08, Leu04]. Later, Anker and Zhang [AZ22] (see also [CP04]) proved the exact formula

$$\inf \sigma(\Delta) = \begin{cases} \|\rho\|^2 & : \tilde{\delta}_\Gamma < \|\rho\| \\ \|\rho\|^2 - (\tilde{\delta}_\Gamma - \|\rho\|)^2 & : \tilde{\delta}_\Gamma \geq \|\rho\|, \end{cases}$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E46, 58C40.

*Key words and phrases.* Semisimple Lie groups, joint spectrum, temperedness.

where  $\rho$  is the usual half sum of restricted roots and  $\tilde{\delta}_\Gamma$  is the modified critical exponent which is defined through  $\|\mu_+(\gamma)\|$  and  $\langle \rho, \mu_+(\gamma) \rangle$  and therefore also takes the direction and not only the size of  $\mu_+(\gamma)$  into account.

This concept can be further extended through the definition of the growth indicator function  $\psi_\Gamma: \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  introduced by Quint [Qui02]:

$$\psi_\Gamma(H) := \|H\| \inf_{H \in \mathcal{C}} \inf \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, \mu_+(\gamma) \in \mathcal{C}} e^{-s\|\mu_+(\gamma)\|} < \infty\},$$

where the infimum runs over all open cones  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$  with  $H \in \mathcal{C}$ . It has been shown in [WZ23] that

$$\inf \sigma(\Delta) = \|\rho\|^2 - \max \left\{ 0, \sup_{H \in \bar{\mathfrak{a}}_+} \frac{\psi_\Gamma(H) - \langle \rho, H \rangle}{\|H\|} \right\}^2.$$

In the rank one case an immediate consequence of the above described relations is that the representation  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  is tempered if and only if  $\delta_\Gamma \leq 1/2$ . This follows, because in rank one all non-tempered representations occurring in  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  lead to Laplace eigenvalues strictly smaller than  $\|\rho\|$ . The latter argument breaks down completely in higher rank, as there are known examples of non-tempered representations that lead to arbitrary high Laplace eigenvalues. Thus the question of temperedness of  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  remained completely open until the recent breakthrough of Edwards and Oh who proved the following theorem, based on previously obtained mixing results for Anosov subgroups [ELO23]:

**Theorem 1** ([EO23, Theorem 1.6]).

- (i) If  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  is tempered then  $\psi_\Gamma \leq \rho$ .
- (ii) Assume that  $\Gamma$  is a Zariski dense image of an Anosov representation with respect to the minimal parabolic subgroup. Then  $\psi_\Gamma \leq \rho$  implies that  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  is tempered.

A consequence of the main result in the present paper is that this result holds for general discrete subgroups  $\Gamma < G$  (see Corollary 3). We can deduce this result from a general polyhedral bound on the joint spectrum  $\tilde{\sigma} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*/W$  of the algebra of invariant differential operators on  $G/K$  (see Section 2.3 for a precise definition).

The temperedness of  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  is equivalent to  $\tilde{\sigma} \subseteq i\mathfrak{a}^*$  and in general  $\Re \tilde{\sigma} \subseteq \text{conv}(W\rho)$ , where  $\text{conv}(W\rho)$  is the polyhedron described by the convex hull of the Weyl orbit of  $\rho$ . Our main theorem states (in part) that bounding the growth indicator function  $\psi_\Gamma$  by dilates of the linear functional  $\rho$  is equivalent to bounding  $\Re \tilde{\sigma}$  in a dilation of the polyhedron  $\text{conv}(W\rho)$ :

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a real semisimple connected non-compact Lie group with finite center and  $\Gamma < G$  a discrete and torsion-free subgroup. Then for all  $p \in 2\mathbb{N}$  the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\Re \tilde{\sigma} \subseteq (1 - 2p^{-1}) \text{conv}(W\rho)$ .
- (ii) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $d_\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)^K$ :

$$|\langle (\exp v)f_1, f_2 \rangle| \leq d_\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon\|v\|} e^{-2p^{-1}\rho(v)} \|f_1\| \|f_2\|.$$

- (iii)  $\psi_\Gamma \leq (2 - 2p^{-1})\rho$ .
- (iv)  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  is almost  $L^p$  (see Section 2.2 for a definition).

With

- (v)  $\inf \sigma(\Delta) \geq 2p^{-1}(2 - 2p^{-1})\|\rho\|^2$ .

we have the following implications between the above statements for  $p \in [2, \infty)$ :

$$(i) \iff (ii) \implies (iii) \implies (iv), (v).$$

A direct consequence of taking  $p = 2$  and [WZ23, Cor. 1.2] is:

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $G$  be a real semisimple connected non-compact Lie group with finite center and  $\Gamma < G$  a discrete and torsion-free subgroup, then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i)  $\tilde{\sigma} \subseteq i\mathfrak{a}^*$ .

(ii) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $d_\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)^K$ :

$$|\langle (\exp v)f_1, f_2 \rangle| \leq d_\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon \|v\|} e^{-\rho(v)} \|f_1\| \|f_2\|.$$

(iii)  $\psi_\Gamma \leq \rho$ .

(iv)  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  is almost  $L^2$ .

(v)  $\inf \sigma(\Delta) = \|\rho\|^2$ .

(vi)  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  is tempered.

**Strategy of proof.** The key step in our proof is that we can derive a precise relation between the decay of matrix coefficients for functions  $f_1, f_2 \in C_c(\Gamma \backslash G)$  and the growth indicator function  $\psi_\Gamma$  (Theorem 10). We can then link these decay estimates to the joint spectrum by the abstract Plancherel formula and the asymptotic analysis of spherical functions.

**Related results.** In a previous work, the latter two named authors [WW23] had obtained bounds on the joint spectrum by counting of  $\Gamma$  points in the case where  $G$  is a product of rank one groups and  $\Gamma < G$  a general discrete, torsion free subgroup. In particular, they obtained Theorem 1 in this case. The methods in [WW23] however were based on analyzing the resolvent kernels on the individual rank one factors.

Temperedness in the complementary setting of homogeneous spaces  $G/H$  for a closed subgroup  $H$  with finitely many connected components has been studied by Benoist and Kobayashi in a series of papers [BK15, BK22, BK21, BK23]. They prove that the regular representation of  $G$  on  $L^2(G/H)$  is tempered if and only if a growth condition on  $H$  is satisfied that is similar to (iii). They also prove a version alike Theorem 2 where they characterize when  $L^2(G/H)$  is almost  $L^p$  for  $p \in 2\mathbb{N}$ .

*Acknowledgements:* We thank Valentin Blomer for his suggestion to study this question and for numerous stimulating discussions. We furthermore thank Samuel Edwards, Joachim Hilgert, and Alex Gorodnik for discussions and advice to the literature. This work has received funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) Grant No. SFB-TRR 358/1 2023 - 491392403 (CRC ‘‘Integral Structures in Geometry and Representation Theory’’).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Notation.** In this article  $G$  is a real semisimple connected non-compact Lie group with finite center and  $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . We fix an Iwasawa decomposition  $G = KAN$  and define  $M$  as the centralizer of  $A$  in  $K$ . Furthermore, let  $\bar{N}$  be the nilpotent subgroup such that  $KAN\bar{N}$  is the opposite Iwasawa decomposition. We denote by  $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{m}, \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$  the corresponding Lie algebras. For  $g \in G$  let  $H(g) \in \mathfrak{a}$  be the logarithm of the  $A$ -component in the Iwasawa decomposition. Let  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^*$  be the root system of restricted roots,  $\Sigma^+$  the positive system corresponding to the Iwasawa decomposition, and  $W$  the corresponding Weyl group acting on  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ . As usual, for  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ , we denote by  $m_\alpha$  the

dimension of the root space, and by  $\rho$  the half sum of restricted roots counted with multiplicity. Let  $\mathfrak{a}_+ = \{H \in \mathfrak{a} \mid \alpha(H) > 0 \forall \alpha \in \Sigma\}$  the positive Weyl chamber,  $\overline{\mathfrak{a}_+}$  its closure, and  $\mathfrak{a}_+^*$  the corresponding cone in  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  via the identification  $\mathfrak{a} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{a}^*$  through the Killing form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . We have the Cartan decomposition  $G = K \exp(\overline{\mathfrak{a}_+})K$  and for  $g \in G$  there is a unique  $\mu_+(g) \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}_+}$  such that  $g \in K \exp(\mu_+(g))K$ . For the Cartan decomposition the following integral formula holds (see [Hel84, Thm. I.5.8]):

$$(2.1) \quad \int_G f(g) dg = \int_K \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+} \int_K f(k \exp(H)k') \delta(H) dk dH dk'$$

where  $\delta(H) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} (\sinh(\alpha(H)))^{m_\alpha}$ . Note that  $\delta(H) \leq e^{2\rho(H)}$ . We fix a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma \leq G$ .

**2.2. Temperedness and almost  $L^p$ .** Recall the following definitions. Denote by  $\Xi$  the Harish-Chandra function  $\Xi(g) = \int_K e^{-\rho(H(gk))} dk$  where  $H: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$  is defined by  $g \in Ke^{H(g)}N$ . It is well-known that  $\Xi$  is a smooth bi- $K$ -invariant function of  $G$  with values in  $(0, 1]$ . Furthermore, there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$e^{-\rho(H)} \leq \Xi(e^H) \leq C(1 + |H|)^d e^{-\rho(H)}$$

for  $H \in \mathfrak{a}_+$ . Here  $d$  is the number of positive reduced roots. Note that by (2.1) this implies that  $\Xi \in L^{2+\varepsilon}(G)$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Definition 2.1** ([Oh02, Def. 2.3 and 2.4]). (i) A representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  is called *tempered* if for any  $K$ -finite unit vectors  $v$  and  $w$ ,

$$|\langle \pi(g)v, w \rangle| \leq (\dim \langle Kv \rangle \dim \langle Kw \rangle)^{1/2} \Xi(g)$$

for any  $g \in G$ , where  $\langle Kv \rangle$  denotes the subspace spanned by  $Kv$ .

(ii) A representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  is called *strongly  $L^{p+\varepsilon}$*  or *almost  $L^p$*  if there is a dense subset  $V$  of the Hilbert space attached to  $\pi$  such that for any  $v, w \in V$ , the matrix coefficient  $g \mapsto \langle \pi(g)v, w \rangle$  lies in  $L^q(G)$  for all  $q > p$ .

Note that if  $\pi$  is strongly  $L^{p+\varepsilon}$ , then  $\pi$  is also strongly  $L^{q+\varepsilon}$  for any  $q \geq p$  since any matrix coefficients are bounded. We have the following theorem relating the two concepts.

**Theorem 4** ([Oh02, Thm. 2.4]). *A representation  $\pi$  is tempered if and only if it is strongly  $L^{2+\varepsilon}$ .*

Since we're not only interested in temperedness, being strongly  $L^{p+\varepsilon}$  gives us a measure for the extent of the non-tempered part. However, the connection to uniform pointwise bounds seems to be established only for  $p \in 2\mathbb{N}$ :

**Theorem 5** ([Oh02, Thm. 2.5]). *If  $\pi$  is a unitary representation without a non-zero invariant vector that is strongly  $L^{2k+\varepsilon}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then for any  $K$ -finite unit vectors  $v$  and  $w$ ,*

$$|\langle \pi(g)v, w \rangle| \leq (\dim \langle Kv \rangle \dim \langle Kw \rangle)^{1/2} \Xi^{1/k}(g).$$

Clearly, since  $\Xi$  is  $L^{2+\varepsilon}$  the opposite implication holds as well.

**2.3. Spherical dual and joint spectrum.** Assume that the unitary representation  $R$  on  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  by right multiplication is decomposed into a direct integral of irreducible representations

$$\pi \simeq \int_X^\oplus \pi_x d\mu(x)$$

where  $(X, \mu)$  is a measure space and  $\pi_x$  are irreducible unitary representations. We should think of  $X$  as the Cartesian product of the unitary dual  $\widehat{G}$  and a multiplicity space.

The joint spectrum can be defined as follows.

**Definition 2.2.** [[WW23, Prop. 3.6]]

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{\sigma} := \text{supp}(\varphi_*\mu) \cap \widehat{G}_{sph} \subseteq \widehat{G}_{sph},$$

where  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \widehat{G}$  is the map  $x \mapsto \pi_x$  and  $\widehat{G}_{sph}$  is the spherical dual of  $G$ , i.e. the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations containing a non-zero  $K$ -invariant vector.

In the following we describe how  $\widehat{G}_{sph}$  can be parametrized by subset of  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*/W$  (see [Hel84, Thm. IV.3.7]). For  $\pi \in \widehat{G}_{sph}$  let  $v_K$  be a normalized  $K$ -invariant vector. Then the function  $\phi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\phi(g) = \langle \pi(g)v_K, v_K \rangle$  is bi- $K$ -invariant and positive definite, i.e. the matrix  $(\phi(x_i^{-1}x_j))_{ij}$  is positive semidefinite for any choice of finitely many  $x_i \in G$ . Furthermore,  $\phi$  is an eigenvector for each element in the algebra  $\mathbb{D}(G/K)$  of  $G$ -invariant differential operators on  $G/K$ . Therefore,  $\phi$  is an elementary spherical function  $\phi_\lambda(g) = \int_K e^{-(\lambda+\rho)H(g^{-1}k)} dk$  for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ . Note that  $\phi_\lambda = \phi_\mu$  if and only if  $W\lambda = W\mu$ . It can be shown that the mapping  $\pi \mapsto W\lambda$  is a bijection of  $\widehat{G}_{sph}$  onto the set  $\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*/W \mid \phi_\lambda \text{ is positive definite}\}$ . We identify the two sets and write  $\pi_\lambda$  for the representation corresponding to  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*/W$  with  $\phi_\lambda$  positive definite. In particular, for  $\lambda \in \widehat{G}_{sph}$  we have  $\langle \pi_\lambda(g)v, w \rangle = \phi_\lambda(g)\langle v, w \rangle$  if  $v, w$  are  $K$ -invariant.

Every positive definite function on  $G$  is bounded by its value at 1 and therefore  $\widehat{G}_{sph} \subseteq \text{conv}(W\rho) + i\mathfrak{a}^*$  by [Hel84, Thm. IV.8.1]. Here  $\text{conv}(W\rho)$  is the convex hull of the Weyl orbit  $W\rho$  of  $\rho$  which can be characterized by

$$\text{conv}(W\rho) = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^* \mid \lambda(wH) \leq \rho(H) \forall H \in \mathfrak{a}_+, w \in W\}.$$

$L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  is tempered if and only if  $\tilde{\sigma} \subseteq i\mathfrak{a}^*$  (see Lemma 9 below) and the intermediate cases will be covered by the least  $p \in [2, \infty]$  such that  $\Re \tilde{\sigma} \subseteq (1 - 2p^{-1}) \text{conv}(W\rho)$ .

### 3. DECAY OF COEFFICIENTS IN THE $L^2$ SENSE

In this section we prove series of lemmas that connect the decay of coefficient in the  $L^2$  sense with the growth indicator function  $\psi_\Gamma$  as well as the joint spectrum. We start with a slight modification of [LO23, Prop. 7.3].

**Lemma 6.** *Suppose that there exists a homogeneous function  $\theta: \mathfrak{a}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $d_\varepsilon > 0$  such that for any  $K$ -invariant functions  $f, g \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)^K$ , any  $v \in \mathfrak{a}_+$ ,*

$$|\langle R(\exp v)f, g \rangle| \leq d_\varepsilon e^{-\theta(v)} e^{\varepsilon \|v\|} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2.$$

*Then this implies*

$$\psi_\Gamma \leq 2\rho - \theta.$$

Note that it is enough to have the assumption for  $f, g \in C_c(\Gamma \backslash G)^K$  since  $C_c$  is dense in  $L^2$ .

*Proof.* The proof is the same as [LO23, Prop. 7.3] except that one obtains

$$\#(\Gamma \cap B_T) \leq C e^{(T+\varepsilon)((2\rho-\theta)u+\varepsilon\|u\|)+2(T+\varepsilon)\eta}.$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log \#(\Gamma \cap B_T) \leq (2\rho - \theta)(u) + \varepsilon\|u\| + 2\eta.$$

This implies  $\psi_\Gamma(u) \leq (2\rho - \theta)(u) + \varepsilon\|u\|$  and the lemma by letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

We now prove that the assumption of Lemma 6 is satisfied by a homogeneous functional  $\theta$  defined via the joint spectrum.

**Lemma 7.** *For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $d_\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $f, g \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)^K$  we have*

$$\langle R(\exp v)f, g \rangle \leq d_\varepsilon e^{\sup_{\lambda \in \bar{\sigma}} (\Re \lambda - \rho)(v)} e^{\varepsilon\|v\|} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2.$$

*Proof.* We decompose  $f, g \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)^K$  into  $\int_X^\oplus f_x d\mu(x)$  and  $\int_X^\oplus g_x d\mu(x)$ , respectively, according to the decomposition  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \simeq \int_X^\oplus \pi_x d\mu(x)$ . Since  $f$  and  $g$  are  $K$ -invariant  $f_x$  and  $g_x$  are contained in  $\pi_x^K$  for almost every  $x \in X$  and hence they vanish for almost every  $x \in X$  with  $\pi_x \notin \widehat{G}_{sph}$ . We calculate

$$\langle R(\exp v)f, g \rangle = \int_X \langle \pi_x(\exp v)f_x, g_x \rangle d\mu(x) = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(\widehat{G}_{sph})} \langle \pi_x(\exp v)f_x, g_x \rangle d\mu(x).$$

We recall that if  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*/W$  corresponds to  $\pi_\lambda \in \widehat{G}_{sph}$  we have

$$\langle \pi_\lambda(g)v_K, v_K \rangle = \phi_\lambda(g) \langle v_K, v_K \rangle$$

for  $v_K \in \pi_\lambda^K$ . Therefore,

$$\langle R(\exp v)f, g \rangle = \int_{\varphi^{-1}(\widehat{G}_{sph})} \phi_{\lambda_x}(\exp v) \langle f_x, g_x \rangle d\mu(x).$$

Hence we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle R(\exp v)f, g \rangle| &\leq \int_{\varphi^{-1}(\widehat{G}_{sph})} |\phi_{\lambda_x}(\exp v)| \|f_x\| \|g_x\| d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \text{esssup}_{\varphi_*\mu|_{\widehat{G}_{sph}}} |\phi_{\lambda_x}(\exp v)| \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \\ &\leq \sup_{\lambda \in \bar{\sigma}} |\phi_\lambda(\exp v)| \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

For the elementary spherical function we have the well-known estimates

$$|\phi_\lambda(\exp v)| \leq e^{\Re \lambda(v)} \Xi(\exp v) \leq d_\varepsilon e^{\Re \lambda(v)} e^{-\rho(v)} e^{\varepsilon\|v\|}$$

for  $\Re \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_+$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

As a direct consequence of Lemma 6 and 7 we get the following proposition.

**Proposition 8.**

$$\psi_\Gamma(v) \leq \sup_{\lambda \in \bar{\sigma}} \Re \lambda(v) + \rho(v).$$

Note that this bound on the counting function is even a little bit more precise compared to the bounds stated in the main theorem, because the right hand side is not simply a dilation of  $\rho$  but might be a more precise functional.

We can also prove an analogue of Lemma 6 which implies an obstruction on the joint spectrum instead of an obstruction on  $\psi_\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 9.** *Suppose that there exists a homogeneous function  $\theta: \mathfrak{a}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $d_\varepsilon > 0$  such that for any  $K$ -invariant functions  $f, g \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  and any  $v \in \mathfrak{a}_+$*

$$|\langle R(\exp v)f, g \rangle| \leq d_\varepsilon e^{-\theta(v)} e^{\varepsilon \|v\|} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2.$$

Then this implies that

$$\Re \lambda \leq \rho - \theta$$

for all  $\lambda \in \tilde{\sigma}$ .

In particular,  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  is tempered if and only if  $\tilde{\sigma} \subseteq i\mathfrak{a}^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$ ,  $X_{sph} = \varphi^{-1}(\widehat{G}_{sph})$ ,  $\lambda_0 \in \tilde{\sigma}$ , and  $A_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} := \{x \in X_{sph} \mid |\lambda_x - \lambda_0| < \tilde{\varepsilon}\}$ . Then  $\mu(A_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}) > 0$  by (2.2). Put  $f_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} = \mu(A_{\tilde{\varepsilon}})^{-1/2} \int_X^\oplus \mathbb{1}_{A_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}}(x) w_x^K d\mu(x)$  where  $w_x^K \in \pi_x^K$  is normalized. By definition  $f_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)^K$  is normalized and  $\langle R(\exp v)f_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}, f_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \rangle = \mu(A_{\tilde{\varepsilon}})^{-1} \int_{A_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}} \phi_{\lambda_x}(\exp v) d\mu(x)$ . We infer that  $\phi_{\lambda_0}(\exp v) = \lim_{\tilde{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0} \langle R(\exp v)f_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}, f_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \rangle$  and therefore by the assumed bound on the matrix coefficients we get  $|\phi_{\lambda_0}(\exp v)| \leq d_\varepsilon e^{-\theta(v)} e^{\varepsilon \|v\|}$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Without loss of generality assume  $\Re \lambda_0 \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}_+^*}$ . From [vdBS87, Thm. 3.5 and proof of Thm. 10.1] follows that there is a polynomial  $p(t)$  such that

$$\phi_{\lambda_0}(\exp tv) p(t)^{-1} e^{-t(\lambda_0 - \rho)(v)} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence,

$$1 \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} d_\varepsilon |p(t)|^{-1} e^{t(-\theta(v) + \varepsilon \|v\| - \Re \lambda_0(v) + \rho(v))}$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We conclude

$$-\theta(v) + \varepsilon \|v\| - \Re \lambda_0(v) + \rho(v) > 0$$

and

$$\Re \lambda_0 \leq \rho - \theta.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. DECAY OF COEFFICIENTS IN TERMS OF THE GROWTH INDICATOR FUNCTION

The goal of this section is to prove the following theorems.

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $f_1, f_2 \in C_c(\Gamma \backslash G)$ ,  $H_0 \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}_+}$  normalized, and  $s > \psi_\Gamma(H_0)$ ,  $s \geq 0$ . Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that*

$$|\langle R(\exp tH)f_1, f_2 \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)}| \leq C e^{t(s - 2\rho(H))}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $H \in B_\delta(H_0)$  normalized.

*Remark.* If  $H_0$  is not in the limit cone and therefore  $\psi_\Gamma(H_0) = -\infty$ , then

$$\langle R(\exp(tH))f_1, f_2 \rangle = 0$$

for  $t$  large enough depending on  $H_0$ .

**Theorem 11.** *If  $\psi_\Gamma \leq (2 - 2p^{-1})\rho$  then  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  is strongly  $L^{p+\varepsilon}$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 11.* Let us fix an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Based on Theorem 10 we will show that the matrix coefficients for functions in  $C_c(\Gamma \backslash G)$  are  $p + \varepsilon$  integrable. Let  $f_1, f_2 \in C_c(\Gamma \backslash G)$ . Since

$$(4.1) \quad \left| \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f_1(\Gamma gh) f_2(\Gamma g) d\Gamma g \right| \leq \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \max_{k \in K} |f_1(ghk)| \max_{k \in K} |f_2(gk)| dg$$



we can assume that  $f_i$  is non-negative and right- $K$ -invariant.

Since  $\psi_\Gamma \leq (2 - 2p^{-1})\rho$  for any  $H_0 \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}}_+$ , we can find an  $s_{H_0} \geq 0$  such that  $\psi_\Gamma(H_0) < s_{H_0} < (2 - 2(p + \varepsilon)^{-1})\rho(H_0)$ . Then by Theorem 10 for any  $H_0 \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}}_+$  normalized, there is  $\delta > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that

$$|\langle R(\exp tH)f_1, f_2 \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)}| \leq Ce^{t(s_{H_0} - 2\rho(H))}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $H \in B_\delta(H_0)$ . By shrinking  $\delta$  we can assume that  $s_{H_0} < (2 - 2(p + \varepsilon)^{-1})\rho(H)$  for any  $H \in \overline{B}_\delta(H_0)$ . By compactness of the unit sphere in  $\mathfrak{a}$  we only need finitely many  $H_0^i$  in order to have

$$\overline{\mathfrak{a}}_+ \subseteq \bigcup_i \mathbb{R}_+ \cdot \tilde{B}_i \text{ where } \tilde{B}_i := B_{\delta_i}(H_0^i) \cap \{H \in \mathfrak{a}, \|H\| = 1\}.$$

Therefore using the right  $K$ -invariance of  $f_i$  and the integral formula (2.1) for the decomposition  $G = K \exp(\overline{\mathfrak{a}}_+)K$  we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G |\langle R(h)f_1, f_2 \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)}|^{p+\varepsilon} dh &= \int_{\mathfrak{a}_+} |\langle R(\exp H)f_1, f_2 \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)}|^{p+\varepsilon} \delta(H) dH \\ &\leq \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \tilde{B}_i} |\langle R(\exp H)f_1, f_2 \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)}|^{p+\varepsilon} \delta(H) dH. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it suffices to show for the finitely many  $i$  that

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \tilde{B}_i} |\langle R(\exp H)f_1, f_2 \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)}|^{p+\varepsilon} \delta(H) dH$$

is finite. Using polar coordinates and  $\delta(H) \leq e^{2\phi(H)}$  (4.2) is bounded by

$$C' \sup_{H \in \tilde{B}_i} \int_0^\infty |\langle R(\exp tH)f_1, f_2 \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)}|^{p+\varepsilon} e^{2\rho(tH)} t^{\dim(\mathfrak{a})-1} dt.$$

Now we use Theorem 10 to obtain that (4.2) is bounded by

$$CC' \sup_{H \in B_\delta(H_0) \cap \{\|\cdot\|=1\}} \int_0^\infty e^{t((s_{H_0} - 2\rho(H))(p+\varepsilon) + 2\rho(H))} t^{\dim(\mathfrak{a})-1} dt$$

which is finite since  $s_{H_0} < \rho(H)(2 - 2(p + \varepsilon)^{-1})$  for any  $H \in \overline{B}_\delta(H_0)$  normalized. This completes the proof of Theorem 11.  $\square$

Before proving Theorem 10 let us prove the following lemma that is certainly known to experts but might still be of independent interest.

Recall (see [Hel84, Prop. I.5.21]) that the mapping

$$(\bar{n}, m, a, n) \mapsto \bar{n}man \in G$$

is a bijection of  $\overline{N} \times M \times A \times N$  onto an open submanifold of  $G$  whose complement has Haar measure 0. Moreover,

$$\int_G f(g) dg = \int_{\overline{N} \times M \times A \times N} f(\bar{n}man) e^{2\rho(\log a)} d\bar{n} dm da dn.$$

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_c(G)$  with  $\text{supp } \varphi_i \subseteq \overline{N}MAN$ . Then there is a constant  $C = C_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2}$  such that for all  $h \in A$*

$$\left| \int_G \varphi_1(h^{-1}gh) \varphi_2(g) dg \right| \leq Ce^{-2\rho(\log h)}.$$



*Proof.* By the triangle inequality we can assume that  $\varphi_i \geq 0$ . Since  $\text{supp } \varphi_i \subseteq \overline{NMAN}$  there exist compact sets  $C_{\overline{N}} \subseteq \overline{N}$ ,  $C_A \subseteq A$ , and  $C_N \subseteq N$  with  $\text{supp } \varphi_i \subseteq C_{\overline{N}}MC_AC_N$ . We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} c &:= c_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, h} := \int_G \varphi_1(h^{-1}gh)\varphi_2(g) dg \\ &= \int_{C_{\overline{N}} \times M \times C_A \times C_N} \varphi_1(h^{-1}\overline{n}manh)\varphi_2(\overline{n}man)e^{2\rho(\log a)} d\overline{n} dm da dn \\ &\leq \|\varphi_2\|_\infty \int_{C_{\overline{N}} \times M \times C_A \times C_N} \varphi_1(h^{-1}\overline{n}manh)e^{2\rho(\log a)} d\overline{n} dm da dn. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M$  centralizes  $A$  and  $A$  is abelian

$$c \leq \|\varphi_2\|_\infty \int_{C_{\overline{N}} \times M \times C_A \times C_N} \varphi_1(h^{-1}\overline{n}hmah^{-1}nh)e^{2\rho(\log a)} d\overline{n} dm da dn.$$

Estimating  $\varphi_1$  by its absolute value and using that  $A$  normalizes both  $N$  and  $\overline{N}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} c &\leq \|\varphi_1\|_\infty \|\varphi_2\|_\infty \int_M dm \int_{C_A} e^{2\rho(\log a)} da \int_{C_{\overline{N}} \cap hC_{\overline{N}}h^{-1}} d\overline{n} \int_{C_N \cap hC_Nh^{-1}} dn \\ &\leq \|\varphi_1\|_\infty \|\varphi_2\|_\infty \int_M dm \int_{C_A} e^{2\rho(\log a)} da \int_{C_N} dn \int_{hC_{\overline{N}}h^{-1}} d\overline{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the Jacobian factor for the diffeomorphism  $\overline{n} \mapsto h^{-1}\overline{n}h$  of  $\overline{N}$  is  $\det \text{Ad}(h)|_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}} = e^{-2\rho(\log h)}$  we have

$$\int_{hC_{\overline{N}}h^{-1}} d\overline{n} = \int_{\overline{N}} 1_{C_{\overline{N}}}(h^{-1}\overline{n}h) d\overline{n} = \int_{\overline{N}} 1_{C_{\overline{N}}}(\overline{n})e^{-2\rho(\log h)} d\overline{n} = \int_{C_{\overline{N}}} d\overline{n} e^{-2\rho(\log h)}.$$

We conclude

$$c_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, h} \leq \|\varphi_1\|_\infty \|\varphi_2\|_\infty \int_M dm \int_{C_A} e^{2\rho(\log a)} da \int_{C_N} dn \int_{C_{\overline{N}}} d\overline{n} e^{-2\rho(\log h)} = C_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} e^{-2\rho(\log h)}$$

proving the theorem.  $\square$

Let us now prove Theorem 10.

*Proof of Theorem 10.* Let  $f_1, f_2 \in C_c(\Gamma \backslash G)$ . We can find  $\tilde{f}_i \in C_c(G)$  such that  $f_i(\Gamma g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \tilde{f}_i(\gamma g)$ .

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R(h)f_1, f_2 \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)} &= \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f_1(\Gamma gh)f_2(\Gamma g) d\Gamma g = \int_G \tilde{f}_1(gh)f_2(\Gamma g) dg \\ (4.3) \quad &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_G \tilde{f}_1(gh)\tilde{f}_2(\gamma g) dg. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $g \in G$  there is an open neighborhood  $U_g$  of  $g$  such that  $U_g^{-1}U_g \subseteq \overline{NMAN}$  since  $\overline{NMAN}$  is an open neighborhood of the identity element. Since  $\text{supp } \tilde{f}_i$  is compact there are finitely many  $g_k$  such that  $\text{supp } \tilde{f}_i \subseteq \bigcup U_{g_k}$ . There exists a partition of unity  $\chi_k$  subordinate to  $U_{g_k}$ , i.e.  $\chi_k \in C_c(G)$  with  $\text{supp } \chi_k \subseteq U_{g_k}$  and  $\sum_k \chi_k(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \text{supp } \tilde{f}_i$ . We decompose  $\tilde{f}_i$  as  $\sum_k \chi_k \tilde{f}_i$  in (4.3). This allows us to assume without loss of generality

that  $\text{supp } \tilde{f}_i$  is contained in some  $U_g$ , since we can estimate each of the finite summands individually. In particular, we can assume that  $(\text{supp } \tilde{f}_i)^{-1} \text{supp } \tilde{f}_i \subseteq \overline{NMAN}$ .

Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\int_G \tilde{f}_1(gh)\tilde{f}_2(\gamma g) dg \neq 0$ . Then there is  $g \in G$  with  $gh \in \text{supp } \tilde{f}_1$  and  $\gamma g \in \text{supp } \tilde{f}_2$ . Therefore,  $\gamma \in (\text{supp } \tilde{f}_2)g^{-1} \subseteq \text{supp } \tilde{f}_2 h (\text{supp } \tilde{f}_1)^{-1}$ . Hence, there are  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  in  $\text{supp } \tilde{f}_1$  and  $\text{supp } \tilde{f}_2$ , respectively, with  $\gamma = s_2 h s_1^{-1}$ . By change of variables

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G \tilde{f}_1(gh)\tilde{f}_2(\gamma g) dg &= \int_G \tilde{f}_1(gh)\tilde{f}_2(s_2 h s_1^{-1} g) dg = \int_G \tilde{f}_1((h s_1^{-1})^{-1} gh)\tilde{f}_2(s_2 g) dg \\ &= \int_G \tilde{f}_1(s_1 h^{-1} gh)\tilde{f}_2(s_2 g) dg. \end{aligned}$$

If we define  $\varphi_i(g) := \max_{s \in \text{supp } \tilde{f}_i} |\tilde{f}_i(sg)|$  we can estimate

$$\left| \int_G \tilde{f}_1(gh)\tilde{f}_2(\gamma g) dg \right| \leq \int_G \varphi_1(h^{-1}gh)\varphi_2(g) dg.$$

Hence we have

$$|\langle R(h)f_1, f_2 \rangle| \leq \#(\Gamma \cap (\text{supp } \tilde{f}_2)h(\text{supp } \tilde{f}_1)^{-1}) \int_G \varphi_1(h^{-1}gh)\varphi_2(g) dg.$$

Note that if  $\varphi_i(g) \neq 0$  then there is  $s \in \text{supp } \tilde{f}_i$  such that  $sg \in \text{supp } \tilde{f}_i$ . Hence,  $\text{supp } \varphi_i \subseteq (\text{supp } \tilde{f}_i)^{-1} \text{supp } \tilde{f}_i$  is compact and contained in  $\overline{NMAN}$ . Therefore, by Lemma 12

$$\int_G \varphi_1(h^{-1}gh)\varphi_2(g) dg \leq C e^{-2\rho(\log h)}.$$

The theorem now follows from Lemma 13 and Lemma 14 below.  $\square$

**Lemma 13** (see [Ben96, Prop. 5.1]). *For all compact sets  $C \subseteq G$  there exists a compact set  $L \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $\mu(CgC) \subseteq \mu(g) + L$ .*

**Lemma 14.** *For all  $H_0 \in \mathfrak{a}_+$  normalized, all  $L \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$  compact, all  $t$  large enough, and all  $s > \psi_\Gamma(H_0)$  with  $s \geq 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \mu(\gamma) \in tH + L\} \leq C e^{ts}$$

for  $H \in B_\delta(H_0)$  normalized.

*Remark.* If  $\psi_\Gamma(H_0) < 0$  then  $H_0$  is not in the limit cone and  $\psi_\Gamma(H_0) = -\infty$ . Moreover, there is an open cone containing  $H_0$  that contains only finitely many  $\Gamma$  points. In particular,  $\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \mu(\gamma) \in tH + L\}$  is empty for  $t$  large enough depending on  $H_0$ .

*Proof.* By definition there exists an open cone  $\mathcal{C}$  containing  $H_0$  such that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, \mu(\gamma) \in \mathcal{C}} e^{-s\|\mu(\gamma)\|} < \infty.$$

Therefore for any  $R > 0$ , there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$\#\{\gamma \mid \mu(\gamma) \in \mathcal{C}, \|\mu(\gamma)\| \in ]t - R, t + R]\} \leq C e^{ts}.$$

Note that for every  $\delta > 0$  with  $\overline{B_\delta(H_0)} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  there is  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $tH + L \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  for every  $t \geq t_0$  and  $H \in B_\delta(H_0)$ . If we take  $R > 0$  is such that  $L \subseteq B_R(0)$  then we can estimate for all  $t \geq t_0$  and  $H \in B_\delta(H_0)$ , normalized

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{\gamma \mid \mu(\gamma) \in tH + L\} &\leq \#\{\gamma \mid \mu(\gamma) \in \mathcal{C}, \|\mu(\gamma)\| \in ]t\|H\| - R, t\|H\| + R]\} \\ &\leq C e^{ts}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

#### 4.1. Proof of Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* (i) and (ii) are equivalent by Lemma 7 and 9. (ii) implies (iii) by Lemma 6. (iii) implies (iv) by Theorem 11. If  $p \in 2\mathbb{N}$ , (iv) implies (ii) by Theorem 5. (iii) implies (v) by [WZ23, Cor. 1.4]:

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \sigma(\Delta) &= \|\rho\|^2 - \max \left\{ 0, \sup_{H \in \bar{\mathfrak{a}}_+} \frac{\psi_\Gamma(H) - \langle \rho, H \rangle}{\|H\|} \right\}^2 \\ &\geq \|\rho\|^2 - (1 - 2p^{-1})^2 \left( \sup_{H \in \bar{\mathfrak{a}}_+} \frac{\langle \rho, H \rangle}{\|H\|} \right)^2 \\ &= (1 - (1 - 2p^{-1})^2) \|\rho\|^2 = 2p^{-1}(2 - 2p^{-1}) \|\rho\|^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

#### REFERENCES

- [AZ22] J.-P. Anker and H.-W. Zhang. Bottom of the  $L^2$  spectrum of the Laplacian on locally symmetric spaces. *Geom. Dedicata*, 216(1):Paper No. 3, 12, 2022.
- [Ben96] Y. Benoist. Actions propres sur les espaces homogènes réductifs. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 144(2):315–347, 1996.
- [BK15] Y. Benoist and T. Kobayashi. Tempered reductive homogeneous spaces. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 17(12):3015–3036, 2015.
- [BK21] Y. Benoist and T. Kobayashi. Tempered homogeneous spaces III. *J. Lie Theory*, 31(3):833–869, 2021.
- [BK22] Y. Benoist and T. Kobayashi. Tempered homogeneous spaces II. In *Dynamics, geometry, number theory—the impact of Margulis on modern mathematics*, pages 213–245. Univ. Chicago Press, 2022.
- [BK23] Y. Benoist and T. Kobayashi. Tempered homogeneous spaces IV. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 22(6):2879–2906, 2023.
- [Cor90] K. Corlette. Hausdorff dimensions of limit sets I. *Invent. Math.*, 102(3):521–542, 1990.
- [CP04] G. Carron and E. Pedon. On the differential form spectrum of hyperbolic manifolds. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 3:705–747, 2004.
- [ELO23] S. Edwards, M. Lee, and H. Oh. Anosov groups: local mixing, counting and equidistribution. *Geom. Topol.*, 27(2):513–573, 2023.
- [Els73a] J. Elstrodt. Die Resolvente zum Eigenwertproblem der automorphen Formen in der hyperbolischen Ebene. I. *Math. Ann.*, 203:295–300, 1973.
- [Els73b] J. Elstrodt. Die Resolvente zum Eigenwertproblem der automorphen Formen in der hyperbolischen Ebene. II. *Math. Z.*, 132:99–134, 1973.
- [Els74] J. Elstrodt. Die Resolvente zum Eigenwertproblem der automorphen Formen in der hyperbolischen Ebene. III. *Math. Ann.*, 208:99–132, 1974.
- [EO23] S. Edwards and H. Oh. Temperedness of  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  and positive eigenfunctions in higher rank. *Comm. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3:744–778, 2023.
- [Hel84] S. Helgason. *Groups and geometric analysis: integral geometry, invariant differential operators, and spherical functions*. Pure and applied mathematics. Academic Press, 1984.
- [Leu04] E. Leuzinger. Critical exponents of discrete groups and  $L^2$ -spectrum. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 132(3):919–927, 2004.
- [LO23] M. Lee and H. Oh. Dichotomy and measures on limit sets of anosov groups. *arXiv:2203.06794*, 2023.
- [Oh02] H. Oh. Uniform pointwise bounds for matrix coefficients of unitary representations and applications to Kazhdan constants. *Duke Math. J.*, 113(1):133–192, 2002.
- [Pat76] S.J. Patterson. The limit set of a Fuchsian group. *Acta Math.*, 136(3-4):241–273, 1976.
- [Qui02] J.-F. Quint. Divergence exponentielle des sous-groupes discrets en rang supérieur. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 77:563–608, 2002.
- [Sul87] D. Sullivan. Related aspects of positivity in Riemannian geometry. *J. Differential Geom.*, 25(3):327–351, 1987.

- [vdBS87] E. P. van den Ban and H. Schlichtkrull. Asymptotic expansions and boundary values of eigenfunctions on Riemannian symmetric spaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 380:108–165, 1987.
- [Web08] A. Weber. Heat kernel bounds, Poincaré series, and  $L^2$  spectrum for locally symmetric spaces. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 78(1):73–86, 2008.
- [WW23] T. Weich and L. L. Wolf. Temperedness of locally symmetric spaces: The product case. *arXiv:2304.09573*, 2023.
- [WZ23] L. L. Wolf and H.-W. Zhang.  $L^2$ -spectrum, growth indicator function and critical exponent on locally symmetric spaces. *arXiv:2311.11770*, 2023.
- 

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT ZÜRICH, WINTERTHURERSTRASSE 190, CH-8057 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND

*Email address:* christopher.lutsko@uzh.ch

UNIVERSITÄT PADERBORN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, INSTITUT FÜR PHOTONISCHE QUANTENSYS-  
TEME (PHOQS), WARBURGER STR. 100, 33098 PADERBORN, DEUTSCHLAND

*Email address:* weich@math.upb.de

UNIVERSITÄT PADERBORN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, WARBURGER STR. 100, 33098 PADERBORN, DEUTSCHLAND

*Email address:* llwolf@math.upb.de